	OI.	VALUATION O	F INSTRUCTION P	ROGRAM			et of the				E6 11 11	
M A STANDARD			COURSE INFORM	MATION	THE RESERVE	distribution in	SE II					
	D INFORMATIO	A SA ( 6 4 3 5 2 1 6 2 3 5 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		3	18	-		3 4		9	1	0
Year in School	Canhamara	Junior		0	0 0	1	-	0 0		0	0	
) 110311111011	Sophomore Graduate	Other	A.B. Nas	sar	1		1	1 1		1	-	
Senior	Graduate	O Cilio	INSTRUCTO	R 2	2 2			2 2		2	1	(2)
UCLA GPA	2.0 - 2.49	2.5 - 2.99	n		1 2		3	3		3	1	3
Below 2.0		Not	Physics (	0A   14	4 4			4		5	1	5
0.0	3.5 +	established	COURSE		5 5			5 5		6		6
Expected Grade	) C ( ) D	○ F		, _	6 6		13	6 6		7		7
A OB P ONP	7		7/31/ DATE	67	7 7		7		3	8		1
What requirements do	es this course fulf	ill?	DATE		12		8		9			
Major Rela	ted Field G.E	. None		9	9)(9		3	3		400		
iviajor											120	
		ALL					-					ys
		USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONLY	William CALC	duations provide		Ver	T T					wa
our careful and ca	ndid evaluation	is important.	Student course eve	information for	<u>e</u> :	Ne	į					4
eedback to the inst	ructor to help I	improve teaching	and they promise	e returned to the	<u>ig</u>	5	fre					, h
eedback to the inst aculty evaluation ar nstructor and to th	nd promotion. S	obair Please r	provide thoughtful	and constructive	- - -	\$	늘	43.77	ige		-	
nstructor and to th comments regarding	the instructor a	nd course on the	e back of this sheet.		Not Applicable	Very Low or Never	ow or Infrequentl		verage			/ery High or Always
		The second secon			2	<b>S</b>	L <sub>o</sub>		4			Š
To What Exte	nt Do You Feel	That:	seemed about stude	nt learning.	NA	1	2 3	4	5	6	7 (8	3
. INSTRUCTOR COI	VCERN The in	structor was cor	ncerned about stude	ne loanning.								
		i' was wall	prepared and organi	ized.	NA	1)	2 3	(4)	5	6	7	3
ORGANIZATION .	. Class presenta	tions were well	prepared and organ									
		l in acaking	a help in or outside (	of class.	NA	1)	2 3	4	5	6	7	B (
7. INTERACTION	Students felt we	icome in seeking	g neip in or outside t									
B. COMMUNICATIO	NOWILL The	instructor had c	rood communication	skills.	NA	1	2 3	4	5	6	7	8
8. COMMUNICATIO	N SKILLS The	matructor nad g	,000									-
9. VALUE You have	ro loarned some	thing which you	ı consider valuable.		NA	1	2 3	4	5	6	7	8
D. VALUE You have	ve rearried some	tilling willow you							200		72	
0. OVERALL Your	overall rating o	f the instructor.			NA	(1)	2 3	4	5	6	7	8
0. OVERALL . Your	Overall rating o						73.7		-	-	7	0
1. OVERALL Your	overall rating o	f the course.			NA	(1)	2 3	4	5	0		· •
1. UVERALL Toda	Overall racing s				- 60	7.1		-	E	6	7	8 9
3					NA	1	(2) (3	4	5	0	U	0 3
2.					-	4	2 '	3 1	5	6	7	8 9
3.					NA	U	4		9	9	-	1
J.					314	4	2	3 1	5	6	7	8 9
14.					NA		(6)			3	-CX	VIVA VIII
7.	15 st	1:			NA	1	2	3 4	5	6	7	8 9
15.					IN.				/ -T			
			-100		17 18							
YOUR VIEW	OF COURSE O	CHARACTERIS	TICS	LOW	( ) N	1ED	IUM	DE PROPERTY	-(	H	IGH	
16. SUBJECT INTER	REST BEFORE CO	DURSE	N/A	LOW			IUM			Н	IGH	
17. SUBJECT INTER	REST AFTER COL	URSE	O N/A	LOW	_		IUM			Н	IGH	
18 MASTERY OF C	OURSE MATERI	AL	N/A	● LOW			IUM			Н	IGH	i
19. DIFFICULTY (RE	LATIVE TO OTH	ER COURSES)	O N/A	TOO SLOW			UT R	IGH <sup>-</sup>	Г	Т	00	MUC
20. WORKLOAD/PA	CE WAS		N/A	POOR	-		RAGE			Ε	XCE	ELLEN
21. TEXTS, REQUIR	ED READINGS		N/A	POOR	-		RAGE			Е	XCE	ELLEN
22. HOMEWORK AS	SSIGNMENTS		N/A	POOR	-		RAGI			E	XC	ELLEN
23. GRADED MATE	RIALS, EXAMIN	ATIONS	O N/A	POOR	4		RAGI		9			ELLEN
24. LECTURE PRES	ENTATIONS		O N/A	POOR			RAGI		1			ELLEN
25. CLASS DISCUS	SIONS		○ N/A	10011	1					-		

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELLES

Dr. Nossar is one of the best professors

I've ever had at UCLA. He defines what

it means to be a teacher. The class was

very memorable and I will never forget

how he would connect physics with many
other aspects in the world. I lived his

genuine concorn towards students. He really
wants them to grow and understand.

Awtsome Job.

UNIVERSITY OF CALL OTHER, ES **EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTION PROGRAM** FORM A STANDARD **COURSE ID NUMBER** COURSE INFORMATION BACKGROUND INFORMATION 34 0 10 9 18 1. Year in School 0 0 0 0 Junior Sophomore Freshman 1 A. B. NASSAR 1 1 Other Graduate Senior 2 2 2 2 2 2 INSTRUCTOR 2. UCLA GPA 3 3 3 3 3 2.5 - 2.992.0 - 2.49Below 2.0 4 1 PHYSICS GA 4 Not 3.5 +3.0 - 3.49 established 5 5 5 3. Expected Grade 6 6 6 B A A 7 7 NP 8 8 8 8 8 8 4. What requirements does this course fulfill? 9 9 9 Related Field None Major USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONLY Your careful and candid evaluation is important. Student course evaluations provide feedback to the instructor to help improve teaching and they provide information for faculty evaluation and promotion. Summaries of these evaluations are returned to the 5 instructor and to the department chair. Please provide thoughtful and constructive comments regarding the instructor and course on the back of this sheet. To What Extent Do You Feel That: 5. INSTRUCTOR CONCERN . . The instructor was concerned about student learning. NA 1 2 3 4 3 4 5 6 6. ORGANIZATION . . Class presentations were well prepared and organized. 7. INTERACTION . . Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of class. 8. COMMUNICATION SKILLS . . The instructor had good communication skills. 9. VALUE . . You have learned something which you consider valuable. 10. OVERALL . . Your overall rating of the instructor. 5 11. OVERALL . . Your overall rating of the course. 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 12. 4 13. 14. NA 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 15.

YOUR VIEW OF COURSE CHARACTERISTI	cs		CAS SANTANAS COM	
16. SUBJECT INTEREST BEFORE COURSE	○ N/A	O LOW .	MEDIUM	HIGH
17. SUBJECT INTEREST AFTER COURSE	N/A	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH
	N/A	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH
18. MASTERY OF COURSE MATERIAL	N/A	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH
19. DIFFICULTY (RELATIVE TO OTHER COURSES)	N/A	TOO SLOW	ABOUT RIGHT	TOO MUCH
20. WORKLOAD/PACE WAS	N/A	POOR	AVERAGE	EXCELLENT
21. TEXTS, REQUIRED READINGS	N/A	POOR	AVERAGE	EXCELLENT
22. HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENTS	N/A	POOR	AVERAGE	EXCELLENT
23. GRADED MATERIALS, EXAMINATIONS	○ N/A	POOR	AVERAGE	EXCELLENT
24. LECTURE PRESENTATIONS	N/A	POOR	AVERAGE	EXCELLENT
25. CLASS DISCUSSIONS	IV/A	10011		

SPACE IS PROVIDED ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM FOR YOUR WRITTEN COMMENTS ON THE COURSE.

Professor Nassar is a great professor.
I was really scared to take
Physics b/c of a bad teacher in high school, but Professor Nassar totally changed my view of Physics. He made it Jun and very understandable. His unthusiasm is contagious and his love for Physics really schows in his Feaching. The you can also tell that he cares a lot about his students

	U	NIVERSITY OF	INSTRUCTION P	ROGRAM			2 2 2		The same of	<b>F</b>		
RM A STANDARD			COURSE INFORM	MATTER	col	IRS	E ID	NU	MEI=			6
BACKGF	ROUND INFORMATION				3 1 8	- (	03			700	0	8
Year in School			10	2222	0 0 0	•	0	0	0		1	
Freshman	Sophomore	Other	A.B. Na	2201	1 0 1			1	2	-	2	
Senior	Graduate	Other	INSTRUCTO	R	2 2 2		2 2	2	3	1	3	
UCLA GPA	0.10	2.5 - 2.99	01 0510	(00	3 3		3	(3)	4		4	
Below 2.0	2.0 - 2.49	Not	physics	On	4 4 4		4 4 5 5	5	(5		5	
3.0 - 3.49	<b>3.5</b> +	established	COURSE		5 5 5		7 7			6 6	6	
. Expected Grade	() C () D	○ F			6 6 6		6 6		100	7 7	7	
$\bigcirc A \bigcirc B$	○ C ○ D ○ ?	``	July 31, 2	007	7 7 7		8 8			8 8	8	
OP ONP		uffill?	DATE		8 8 8		9 9		1	9	9	
. What requireme	ents does this course function Related Field	i.E. None			9 9 9			/ \3/		- A11	1000	
Major (	Related Field	744			<b>福州</b> (1)		1.46					
							ح ح			_	ays.	
	~~	USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONLY	MANAGE CONT.	aluations provid	e		ent			T T	3	
Vour careful a	nd candid evaluation	on is important.	Student course eve	e information for	or a		i i			and of	A 10	
foodback to th	e instructor to help	) Improvo	luctione ar	e returned to th	ie <u>e</u>		alle			Fre		
faculty evaluat	ion and promotion.	t shair Place r	provide thoughtful	and constructive	re de		_ 	ade		ō	E	
instructor and	ion and promotion. to the departmen arding the instructor	and course on the	e back of this sheet.		Not Applicable		ow or Infrequentl	Average		High or Frequently	Very High or Always	
comments rega	arding the instructor	and obares										
To What	Extent Do You Fe	el That:	pagened about stude	ent learning.	NA (	1 2	3	4) 5	6	7)	8)	9
5. INSTRUCTO	t Extent Do You Fe OR CONCERN The	instructor was co	псетпец ароці зіцце					77900				
		II automatical II aut	propared and organ	iized.	NA	1 2	3)	4 5	6	7	8	
6. ORGANIZA	TION Class preser	ntations were well	prepared and organ						-	725		-
		in anakin	a help in or outside	of class.	NA	1 2	3	4	5 6	7	8	
7. INTERACTI	ON Students felt v	welcome in seekin	g neip in or sais							/33	0 //	0
	CATION SKILLS T	ha instructor had	good communicatio	n skills.	NA	1) (	2 3	4	5 6	U	0	
8. COMMUNI	CATION SKILLS I	ne instructor nad	9004				2 3		- G	7	8	4
	ou have learned sor	mething which vo	u consider valuable.		NA	1)	2) 3,	4	5, 0	/ 🕚	5/	
9. VALUE Y	ou have learned sor	fielding without /			N/A	4	2 3	4	5 6	7	8 (	0
	Your overall rating	of the instructor.			NA.	1	2 3	4	3			
10. OVERALL	. Your overall rating	g 01 1110 1112	-		NA	1	2 3	4	5 E	7	(8)	0
OVEDALL	Your overall rating	g of the course.			165							
11. OVERALL	Your overall racing	-			NA	1	2 3	4	5 (	7	8	9
40												
12.					NA	(1)	2 3	4	5	6 7	(8)	9
12		A Comment of the Comm										
13.					N/	(1)	2 3	4	5	6 7	(8)	9
14.												
14.	7 5 7 2				N	1	2 3	4	5	6 7	8	9
15.		A Company of the Comp										
15.				and the second s								
									NEWSCHIEF TO THE		a 100 f	A 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
		orenic	TICE								To Marie	Total Land
YOUR	VIEW OF COURS	E CHARACTERIS	O N/A	● LOW		ЛED				HIG		
16. SUBJECT	INTEREST BEFORE	COURSE	O N/A	LOW			IUM		9	HIG		
17 SUBJECT	I INTEREST AFTER C	JOURSE	N/A	LOW	-		IUM			HIG		
40 MACTER	V OF COURSE MATE	ERIAL	N/A	LOW	-		IUM			HIG		ПСП
19. DIFFICUL	TY (RELATIVE TO O	THER COURSES!	N/A	TOO SLO			UTR					UCH LENT
20 WORKLO	DAD/PACE WAS		N/A	POOR	-		RAGE					LENT
21. TEXTS, F	REQUIRED READING	15	N/A	POOR	-		RAGE		6			LENT
22. HOMEW	ORK ASSIGNMENTS	MINIATIONS	O N/A	POOR			RAGE					LENT
23. GRADED	MATERIALS, EXAM	IIINATIONS	○ N/A	OPOOR			RAGI					LENT
24. LECTUR	E PRESENTATIONS		○ N/A	OPOOR		AVE	RAGI	=	Œ.	LX	CEL	LLIVI
25. CLASS I	DISCUSSIONS		140				0.1.1	TUE	CC	11111	CE	

PLEASE IDENTIFY WHAT YOU PERCEIVE TO BE THE REAL STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THIS INSTRUCTOR AND COURSE.

Professor Nassar introduced me to physics in a Way that I didn't think possible: I actually LIKED the course! His grading was fair if you worked hard, it payed off. I recommend him to anyone hard, it payed off. I recommend him to anyone and his enthusiasm for the subject was a definite plus! Thank you Professor Nassar, for making the last to weeks so enjoyable!

**EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTION PROGRAM** 200 COURSE ID NUMBER FORM A STANDARD COURSE INFORMATION BACKGROUND INFORMATION 4 110 0 0 0 Year in School 0 Junior 1 Sophomore 1 1 1 1 Freshman Other 2 2 Graduate 2 2 2 2 2 Senior 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 2. UCLA GPA Physics (A COURSE 2.5 - 2.99 4 Δ 2.0 - 2.49 4 4 4 4 Below 2.0 4 Not 5 5 5 3.5 +established 5 5 3.0 - 3.49 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 3. Expected Grade 6 6 7 C . 7 7 В 7 7 7 8 8 NP 8 8 8 8 8 4. What requirements does this course fulfill? 9 9 9 9 9 G.E. None Related Field Major USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONLY Your careful and candid evaluation is important. Student course evaluations provide feedback to the instructor to help improve teaching and they provide information for faculty evaluation and promotion. Summaries of these evaluations are returned to the instructor and to the department chair. Please provide thoughtful and constructive comments regarding the instructor and course on the back of this sheet. To What Extent Do You Feel That: 6 5. INSTRUCTOR CONCERN . . The instructor was concerned about student learning. 4 5 6. ORGANIZATION . . Class presentations were well prepared and organized. 7. INTERACTION . . Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of class. 8. COMMUNICATION SKILLS . . The instructor had good communication skills. 9. VALUE . . You have learned something which you consider valuable. 10. OVERALL . . Your overall rating of the instructor. 11. OVERALL . . Your overall rating of the course. 12. 5 13. 14. 4 NA 1 2 3 15. YOUR VIEW OF COURSE CHARACTERISTICS HIGH MEDIUM **LOW** 16. SUBJECT INTEREST BEFORE COURSE N/A HIGH MEDIUM LOW 17. SUBJECT INTEREST AFTER COURSE N/A M HIGH MEDIUM LOW N/A 18. MASTERY OF COURSE MATERIAL HIGH MEDIUM LOW 19. DIFFICULTY (RELATIVE TO OTHER COURSES) N/A тоо мисн ABOUT RIGHT TOO SLOW N/A EXCELLENT 20. WORKLOAD/PACE WAS AVERAGE POOR N/A 21. TEXTS, REQUIRED READINGS EXCELLENT **AVERAGE** POOR N/A 22. HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENTS EXCELLENT AVERAGE POOR N/A 23. GRADED MATERIALS, EXAMINATIONS EXCELLENT **AVERAGE POOR** N/A 24. LECTURE PRESENTATIONS M EXCELLENT **AVERAGE** POOR N/A 25. CLASS DISCUSSIONS SPACE IS PROVIDED ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM FOR YOUR WRITTEN COMMENTS ON THE COURSE.

UNIVERSITY OF CALL OWNER

PLEASE IDENTIFY WHAT YOU PERCEIVE TO BE THE REAL STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THIS INSTRUCTOR AND COURSE.

Prof. explained the concepts very easily. I grasped the subject matter quickly thanks to him. He is an amazing prof. that genuinally cares about the success of his students and doesn't intimidate us like unlike other prof. I would recommend him to others. Because of him I love physics sow.

	EVALUATION OF	INSTRUCTION PR	OGRAM	COU	Der	III N	VIIII	151=	•	
RM A STANDARD		COURSE INFORM	AHUN	Name and Address of the Owner, where	STORMAN PROPERTY.	_		955	1 1	0
	ROUND INFORMATION		3	18	- 0		4 =	- 9	1	0
. Year in School	○ Sophomore ○ Junior		0	0 0	1		1	1		1
Freshman	Graduate Other	A.B. Nassa	<u>~</u>	2 2	2		2	2	-	2
Senior	Graduate	INSTRUCTOR	2	3 3	3	1	3	3		3
UCLA GPA	(a) 2.0 - 2.49 (b) 2.5 - 2.99			XX	4			4		4
Below 2.0	3.5 + Not established	Physics 6	A   4	5 5	5		5	5		5
3.0 - 3.49	established established	COURSE	5	6 6	1		6	6		6
Expected Grade	OC OD OF	,		7 7			7	G	7 7	7
	O ?	7/31/0	7       8	8		8 8	8	1	8 8	8
O P NP	nts does this course fulfill?	DATE				9 9	9	4	9	9
Major	Related Field G.E. None			1010						
Iviajoi							i de c			
	USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONLY					<u> </u>			<u> -</u>	ays
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	Student course eval	uations provide	946		en			em i	N N
Your careful ar	nd candid evaluation is important. See instructor to help improve teaching	g and they provide	information for	ald N					and a	or /
feedback to the	e instructor to help improve todation	are avaluations are	returned to the	es lica		불			Fre	듐
faculty evaluati	ion and promotion. Summarios	rovide thoughtful a	and constructive	습		5	age		<u>.</u>	Ē
instructor and	to the department chair. Flease parding the instructor and course on the	e back of this sheet.		Not Applicable		ow or Infrequently	Average		High or Frequently	Very High or Always
				200 B 2 2 2						
To What	Extent Do You Feel That: R CONCERN The instructor was con	ncerned about studer	nt learning.	NA 1	2	3 (4	5	6	7)(	8
						70. 70			747	0 6
	<b>FION</b> Class presentations were well	prepared and organiz	zed.	NA	1 2	3 4	5	6	(7)	8
								6	7	8
	ON Students felt welcome in seeking	g help in or outside o	f class.	NA	1 2	3 4	5	6	101	9
						2	4 5	6	7	8
2 2 2 4 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1	CATION SKILLS The instructor had g	good communication	skills.	NA	1 2	3 4				
				NA	1 2	3	4 5	6	7	8
O MALLIE V	ou have learned something which you	ı consider valuable.		NA.						
				NA	1 2	3	4 5	6	7	8
10 OVERALL	. Your overall rating of the instructor.									
				NA	1 2	3	4 5	6	7	8
11 OVERALL.	. Your overall rating of the course.									
11. 0 0 27.0 1.2				NA	1 2	3	4 5	5 6	7	8 9
12.										
16.				NA	1 2	3	4	5 6	7	8 9
13.										
				NA	(1) (2	2 3	4	5 6	7	8 9
14.										
				NA	(1)	2 3	4	5 6	5 7	8 9
15.										
							1941. Pg	7.650		
	VIEW OF COURSE CHARACTERIS	TICS							LIC	
YOUR	VIEW OF COURSE CHARACTERIS	○ N/A	● LOW		IEDIL				HIGF HIGF	
16. SUBJECT	INTEREST BEFORE COURSE	○ N/A	LOW		IEDIL			450	HIGH	
17. SUBJECT	INTEREST AFTER COURSE	N/A	LOW	N     N    N     N     N     N     N     N     N     N     N     N     N    N     N					HIGH	
18. MASTER	Y OF COURSE MATERIAL TY (RELATIVE TO OTHER COURSES)	N/A	LOW	N     N    N     N     N     N     N     N     N     N     N     N     N    N     N			UT			n Muci
19. DIFFICUL	A D/DA CE WAS	N/A	TOO SLOW			TRIG	ΠΙ			ELLEN
20. WORKLO	AD/PACE WAS	○ N/A	POOR	-	VERA					ELLEN
21. TEXTS, R	EQUIRED READINGS	N/A	POOR		VER					ELLEN
22. HOMEWO	ORK ASSIGNMENTS MATERIALS, EXAMINATIONS	O N/A	POOR		VER					ELLEN
23. GRADED	PRESENTATIONS	○ N/A	POOR		VER					CELLEN
24. LECTURE	PRESENTATIONS	○ N/A	POOR	O.F	AVER.	AGE			LAC	* Been Seen Seen Seen S
25. CLASS D	120022101/12							00	11794	C.F.

SPACE IS PROVIDED ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM FOR YOUR WRITTEN COMMENTS ON THE COURSE.

PLEASE IDENTIFY WHAT YOU PERCEIVE TO BE THE REAL STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THIS INSTRUCTOR AND COURSE.

Dr. Wassar was an excellent professor. Not only was his care for student learning great, he truly enjoyed physics and made it very appealing to learn about. Homework problems were shown as real life situations through demos and other medias. Though I did not get a chance to truly know him, he was a great professor who wanted his students to succeed. Thank your